

April 11, 2012

**Comments from The Netherlands on the Approval by Mail:
Revised SREP Results Framework**

Dear Patricia,

Thank you very much for the revised proposal for a simplified SREP results framework which we read with interest. Unfortunately, we observe that our comments, shared on Feb 29th 2012, have not sufficiently been taken up. Let me summarize our main concerns:

1. In particular, we have problems with the newly added statement in paragraph 11, that “transformative impact cannot be achieved only by SREP interventions”. Through this statement and by only looking at (1) measuring energy poverty, and (2) measuring RE generation capacity (GWh), the proposed results framework challenges the vision on transformational change that is clearly defined in SREP design document and program modalities (“overcome economic and non-economic barriers in order to scale up private sector investments”). We consider this very relevant and would like to insist that on transformative impact level the indicator “public and private sector investment” be added. The simplicity of the results framework can be maintained by canceling the indicator “leverage factor”, which we consider in essence to be an input indicator.

2. In addition, we think the clarification of SREP program modalities that we discussed in Nairobi, in terms of SREP’s preference for productive use level (as agreed in Nairobi “without completely excluding household use”) has not been sufficiently taken into account. We think that the prominent reference to Cookstoves and lighting products should be removed from the logic model and from the explanation of the second result indicator.

3. Finally, we noticed a change from semi-annual to annual reporting. Can you please indicate the reasons for this changed frequency?

To conclude the Netherlands cannot yet support the proposal for a revised results framework as it has been shared now. I am more than willing to have a further discussion on the 1th of May when the next SREP-meeting will be held in Washington in order to reach consensus on this issue.

Looking forward to your reply,

Frank van der Vleuten

Senior Policy Adviser Renewable Energy
Climate, Energy, Environment and Water Department / Climate and Energy Division
Ministry of Foreign Affairs, The Netherlands