

Hola!

Welcome back to the PPCR Results Community of Practice! Last week, we shared with you a deep dive on starting the scoring process for core indicators 1 and 2. This week we have a great example from Nepal of the criteria they used for scoring core indicators 1 and 2.



Country Example: Nepal’s Criteria for Scoring Core Indicators 1 and 2

Nepal’s process breaks down its core indicator scoring criteria into two categories – existence (in red) and effectiveness (in blue):



		1 Degree of integration of climate change into sector planning				
		Is there an approved climate change plan for the sector?	Have climate resilience strategies been embedded in the central government's/ sectors principal planning documents?	Has responsibility been assigned to institutions or persons to integrate climate resilience planning?	Have specific measures, e.g. investments and programs, to address climate resilience been identified and prioritized?	Do all planning processes routinely screen for climate risks?
Measure of Existence	0	No, does not exist.	No climate resilience strategies available.	No climate resilience planning	No specific measures (investments and programs) to address climate resilience have been identified.	No
	1	There is a concrete plan for its development.	Climate resilience strategies are available, but not embedded into sector's principal planning document.	Climate resilience planning exists, but no person or institution to integrate climate resilience planning into sector development planning	Specific measures (investments and programs) to address climate resilience has been identified, but have not been implemented.	Yes, there has been some pilot screening for CC risks in a selection of projects.
	2	Exists in draft form.	Climate resilience strategies are embedded into sector's principal planning document.	Responsibility has been assigned to institution/person.		
Measure of Effectiveness	3	Exists and is approved, but is not being implemented.	Climate resilience strategies are being used in planning decisions.	Responsibility has been assigned to institution/person but their involvement in department planning and activities is limited.	Specific measures have been identified but application has not been consistent throughout departmental activities.	Screening is mandated but application has not been consistent throughout departmental activities.
	4	Exists, is approved and functioning with tangible examples of its implementation, but there are improvements needed which have not been clearly identified.				
	5	Exists, is approved and functioning with tangible examples of its implementation but minor improvements are needed which are well known & being planned for.				
	6	Exists, is approved and functioning with tangible examples of its implementation but minor improvements are needed which are well known & being planned for.	Climate resilience strategies are being used to inform implementation of sector activities and projects.	Responsibility has been assigned to institution/person and they are strongly involved in department planning and activities	Yes, specific measures have been consistently applied across departmental activities.	Yes, screening is consistently applied across departmental activities.
	7	Exists, is approved and functioning with tangible examples of its implementation but minor improvements are needed which are well known & being planned for.				
	8	Exists, is approved and functioning with tangible examples of its implementation but minor improvements are needed which are well known & being planned for.				
	9	Yes, exists and needs no significant improvement as it also being implemented well.	Effectiveness of the implementation of resilience strategies are used to improve strategies and planning documents	Responsibility has been assigned to institution/person and they are strongly involved in department planning and activities	Yes, specific measures have been consistently applied across departmental activities.	Yes, screening is consistently applied across departmental activities.
	10	Yes, exists and needs no significant improvement as it also being implemented well.	Effectiveness of the implementation of resilience strategies are used to improve strategies and planning documents	Responsibility has been assigned to institution/person and they are strongly involved in department planning and activities	Yes, specific measures have been consistently applied across departmental activities.	Yes, screening is consistently applied across departmental activities.

As you can see, by highlighting the criteria for effectiveness, in addition to existence, the criteria provide a link between results measurement and learning lessons for improved program outcomes and institutionalized learning. As described in the Results Management Framework for Nepal’s Climate Change Program (CCP), learning in this context is designed to “constantly improve the efficacy of action (Woodhill, 2005)” and generate “insight into what works, what didn’t and what were the causes of these successes and failures” so that future iterations of these programs and projects can increase their effectiveness.

Many thanks to Nepal for this excellent example of how the criteria used for the core indicator scoring process can provide an important link between results and learning. Speaking of the core indicator scoring process, we're looking forward to receiving more of your great photos, stories, and blogs about **how the core indicator scoring process is going in your country!** As we mentioned, those who share any one of these items with us will win a * **prize** *at the [2014 CIF Partnership Forum](https://www.climateinvestmentfunds.org/cif/event-partnership/2014) (<https://www.climateinvestmentfunds.org/cif/event-partnership/2014>).

That's all for now. Thanks again for reading! As always, don't hesitate to let us know if you have any questions or comments! Your questions and their answers might be useful for others in the community. And, if you're looking for more information on PPCR monitoring and reporting, just visit the [PPCR monitoring and reporting website](https://www.climateinvestmentfunds.org/cif/measuring-results/ppcr-measuring-results) (<https://www.climateinvestmentfunds.org/cif/measuring-results/ppcr-measuring-results>).

Until next time! ☺

Obrigado,

Christine, Emmanuel, Shaanti, and Avril



Christine Roehrer
Senior Monitoring
and Evaluation
Specialist



Emmanuel Kouadio
Monitoring and
Evaluation Officer



Shaanti Kapila
Knowledge
Management Officer



Avril David
Knowledge
Management Analyst

*Opt out at any time by sending an email to PPCR-Results@worldbank.org with the subject line "unsubscribe".